REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF LEMON CREST TENTATIVE MAP PDS2014-TM-5582; PDS2014-ER-14-14-005

November 19, 2020

I. HABITAT I	LOSS PERMIT	ORDINANCI	E – Does the proposed project conform to the	
Habitat Loss	Permit/Coastal	Sage Scrub	Ordinance findings?	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				
the Multiple S	Species Conserv	ation Progra	provements are located within the boundaries on the Habitat Loss adings is not required.	
	I <u>O</u> - Does the pro Biological Mitig		ct conform to the Multiple Species Conservation nce?	1
	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				
within the b conforms with	oundaries of the houndaries of the Multiple S	ne Multiple Species Cons	provements related to the proposed project are Species Conservation Program. The project servation Program and the Biological Mitigation dings dated July 29, 2020.	t
	WATER ORDIN O County Groun		es the project comply with the requirements of nance?	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT □	
The project	will obtain pota	ıble water fr	om the San Diego County Sanitation Distric	:t

Lakeside Service Area that obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or other imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purposes, including irrigation

or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County RPO. There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist/historian, Richard Carrico and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites. As such, the project complies with the RPO.

	shed Protect		Does the project comply with ater Management and Discha	-				
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE					
Discussion:								
' '		•	Storm Water Quality Manage and in compliance with the	•				
VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE					
Discussion:								

The project could generate potentially significant noise levels (i.e., in excess of the County General Plan or Noise Ordinance); however, the following noise mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the noise impacts to applicable limits:

Staff has reviewed the plans and information provided for the project. Documentation is considered acceptable and staff has noise recommendations for the project. The project is a Tentative Map to subdivide a parcel into 24 single-family lots with one remainder lot. The project is subject to the County Noise Ordinance. Temporary construction noise is subject to Section 36.408, 409, and 410. Based on the construction noise memo provided, the project would not involve drilling or blasting equipment. Construction equipment is not anticipated to operate in any one location for an extended period of time. The duration of the grading operation is anticipated to be one month and would occur daily, Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm. Earth movement would be comprised of a cut and fill of 124,250 cubic yards of materials with no import or export

Lemon Crest Tentative Map PDS2014-TM-5582 PDS2014-ER-14-14-005

proposed. Additionally, noise reducing measures would be implemented as part of the grading process. Therefore, the project is not anticipated to exceed the County Noise Ordinance related to temporary grading operations.

The site is located near Los Coches Road and would take access from Lemon Crest Drive. The project is subject to the County Noise Element which requires an exterior noise level threshold of 60 dBA CNEL for single family residences. Based on the County General Plan Update, the nearby roadways are anticipated to expose the project site to levels below the 60 dBA CNEL Noise Element. Nearby roadways would be screened by intervening topography and rows of existing homes/structures. Based on these existing site features, staff does not anticipate noise level to exceed the 60 dBA CNEL requirement at the ground level and second story level of the proposed subdivision. Additionally, the project related traffic contributions to nearby roadways would not create any off-site direct and/or cumulative noise impacts. General Plan Noise Element conformance is demonstrated.